

The actions delineated below were taken in open session of the Kentucky Agricultural Development Board at the January 15, 2021 business meeting. This is provided in summary form; an official record of the meeting is available in the permanent records of the Governor's Office of Agricultural Policy, 404 Ann Street, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.



**Kentucky Agricultural Development Board
Summary Minutes of the Regular Business Meeting
January 15, 2021
404 Ann Street
Frankfort, KY 40601**

Call to Order

Commissioner of Agriculture Dr. Ryan Quarles, presiding, called the Kentucky Agricultural Development Board (KADB) regular business meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. (EST).

Roll Call

The following members were present: Agriculture Commissioner Dr. Ryan Quarles, John Ard (designee for Governor Andy Beshear), Sarah Butler (designee for interim Secretary Larry Hayes), Dr. Kirk Pomper (designee for KSU President Dr. Christopher Brown II), Dean Nancy Cox, Tom McKee, Bobby Foree, Pat Henderson, Fritz Giesecke, Robbie Williams, Mark Barker, Matthew Hinton, Wayne Hunt, Dr. Gordon Jones, Katie Moyer and Al Pedigo.

Notification of Media

Commissioner Quarles received verification from Marielle McElmurray, Director of Public Affairs, that the media had been notified of the KADB monthly meeting.

Welcome

Commissioner Quarles welcomed everyone to the teleconference meeting.

Approval of Minutes

Commissioner Quarles entertained a motion to approve the minutes of the December 18, 2020 board meeting.

Dean Cox moved to approve the minutes, with corrections; Ms. Moyer seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Kentucky Department of Agriculture Report

Commissioner Quarles provided shared some of the Kentucky Department of Agriculture (KDA) activities since the last meeting. Commissioner Quarles introduced his guests Brian Lacefield and Hilda Legg from the USDA with updates of recent administration changes. As recognition of their work and support of Kentucky agriculture, Commissioner Quarles made them both honorary Commissioners of Agriculture. He also indicated he is working with his team on the one-year budget for KDA; NASDA will be working with the Biden administration transition team; and applications for the Leapold award are being accepted.

Executive Director's Report

Commissioner Quarles yielded the floor to Dorsey Ridley, GOAP Executive Director, to report to the board.

Mr. Ridley welcomed everyone to the teleconference meeting and updated the board on his recent activities. He indicated the Governor was unable to attend due to working on security issues at the Capitol, continuing roll out of the vaccine and handling of the pandemic crisis. Mr. Ridley referenced information made available

in the board book. Mr. Ridley commented on Senate Bill 3 and made note that GOAP had been successful for the last 20 years in assisting in moving agriculture in the state forward and served as a springboard between farmers and other agencies to assist farmers in the state.

Mr. Ridley also reported Marielle McElmurray and Grace Clark had accepted positions with other organizations and would be resigning from GOAP. He thanked them both for their service to GOAP.

Deputy Executive Director's Report

Commissioner Quarles yielded the floor to Bill McCloskey, GOAP Deputy Executive Director, to report to the board.

Mr. McCloskey referenced the November 2020 Kentucky Broadcaster's Association Public Education Partnership (PEP) Program memorandum (*on file*).

Mr. McCloskey referenced the Kentucky Agricultural Development Fund State Pool Tobacco Funds (*on file*) as of December 31, 2020.

Mr. McCloskey reviewed Kentucky Agricultural Development Fund County Balances (*on file*) as of December 30, 2020.

Mr. McCloskey reviewed the K AFC Statement of Financial Position (*on file*) as of December 31, 2020.

Mr. McCloskey reviewed the Category B Loans (*on file*) as of December 28, 2020.

Mr. McCloskey reviewed the Category C loan write-off and referenced a memo (*on file*) providing detail.

Mr. McCloskey referenced the November K AFC agenda and list of applications presented for approval (*on file*).

Dr. Gordon Jones asked for an update on the sale of Foothills Products and Services. Staff reported documentation for the sale is being drafted.

Mr. Hinton moved to approve the Deputy Executive Director's Report; Dr. Pomper seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Meat Processing Expansion Committee Report

Commissioner Quarles called on Renee Carrico to provide the Meat Processing Expansion Committee report.

Ms. Carrico reported and updated the board on the status of the meat processing consultant, who is working to get through the Secretary of State requirements so he can begin work. She indicated the committee had provided guidance on the CARES wait list and expenditures for labor will not be allowed, would retro back to December. Also discussed were lifetime limits for processors of \$250,000. An update on CARES will be given at February meeting. The next committee meeting will be January 19 and any outstanding actions will be finalized.

Dr. Jones moved to approve the committee report; Mr. McKee seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

AgriTech Committee

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. Henderson to give the AgriTech Committee report.

Mr. Henderson updated the board on the recent activities of the committee. Mr. Henderson reported the committee is trying to put together a multi-faceted approach to address AgriTech needs in the state. The board will be hearing three presentations- Kentucky Production AgriTech, Lexington/Bluegrass Region Food & Ag Tech Development Strategy and AgLaunch Initiative.

Mr. Henderson moved to accept the committee report; Dean Cox seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Presentation

A2019 – 0210 Berea College, Grow Appalachia

Commissioner Quarles called on Sandra Gardner to introduce Christopher McKenzie to present to the board, as requirement of the legal agreement for the EKY-DIGS project.

Ms. Moyer moved to accept the presentation, as a requirement of the legal agreement, and to receive second year funds, as presented; Mr. Barker seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous

Memo Action Items

Commissioner Quarles called on Stefanie Osterman and Danielle Milbern to present the following memo action items:

Requests for Time Extension

Ms. Osterman referenced three (3) applications requesting time extensions.

Staff recommended approval of the three time extension requests.

Mr. Williams moved to approve the staff recommendation, as presented; Mr. Hinton seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

A2008-0243 City of Berea

Ms. Milbern presented a memorandum (*on file*) on the above referenced request for a budget amendment to reallocate funds.

The Blue Application Review Committee recommended approving the request for the budget amendment.

Dr. Cox moved to approve the committee recommendation, as presented; Mr. Hunt seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

AgriTech Innovation Presentations

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. Henderson to introduce the three presenters on AgriTech Innovation. Mary Shelman presented on Lexington/Bluegrass Region Food and Ag Tech Development Strategy; Eddie Melton presented on Farm Bureau's Kentucky Production AgriTech (KPAT); and Pete Nelson presented on the AgLaunch Initiative.

No action needed.

New Business

New Applications for Referral¹

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. McCloskey to present new applications for referral.

Mr. McCloskey referenced twelve (12) new applications for referral.

Mr. Hinton moved to refer the submitted applications to the appropriate committees; Ms. Moyer seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Programs Recommended for Approval²

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. McCloskey to present programs recommended for approval.

Mr. McCloskey referenced five (5) County Agricultural Investment Program (CAIP) applications totaling \$1,057,828; one (1) Next Generation Farmer (NextGen) application totaling \$36,842; two (2) Shared-Use Equipment applications totaling \$26,000; and two (2) Youth Ag Incentives Program application totaling \$85,825. The total program funding is \$1,206,495.

Dr. Jones moved to approve all programs meeting state guidelines, as presented; Mr. Giesecke seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Mr. Henderson abstained

Requested Program Amendments³

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. McCloskey to present requested program amendments.

Mr. McCloskey referenced one (1) county requesting additional funds of \$25,000 for an existing CAIP for Daviess County. Staff recommended no funding for this application due to low priority by the Daviess County Council.

Mr. Hinton moved to approve the staff recommendation, as presented; Dr. Pomper seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Mr. McCloskey referenced one (1) county requesting additional funds of \$80,000 for an existing CAIP for Jessamine County. Staff recommended funding for this application.

Mr. Foree moved to approve the staff recommendation, as presented; Mr. Barker seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Project Recommendations for Funding

Commissioner Quarles called on Anne Marie Franklin to present the following recommendation for funding:

A2020 – 0312 Hardin County Regional Farmers Market Foundation, Inc.

Ms. Franklin referenced the above application requesting \$33,400 in State funds for the construction of restrooms for the farmers market facility.

The Blue Application Review Committee recommended approval of the request for up to \$32,400 in State funds and \$1,000 in Hardin county funds, subject to terms and conditions.

Mr. Giesecke moved to approve the committee recommendation, as presented; Mr. Pedigo seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Project Recommendations for No Funding

Commissioner Quarles called on Ms. Milbern to present the following recommendation for no funding:

A2020 – 0244 Taylor County Fiscal Court

Ms. Milbern referenced the above application requesting \$10,000 in Taylor County funds to make facility improvements to a recycling center.

The Blue Application Review Committee recommended no funding due to lack of producer impact.

Ms. Butler moved to approve the committee recommendation, as presented; Mr. Pedigo seconded the motion.

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

Pending Applications

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. McCloskey to present the pending applications.

Mr. McCloskey stated there were five (5) project applications pending.

No action necessary.

Withdrawn Applications

Commissioner Quarles called on Mr. McCloskey to present the withdrawn applications

Mr. McCloskey stated there was one (1) project application withdrawn.

No action necessary.

Closing Remarks

Commissioner Quarles asked if there was any additional business for the board to discuss and thanked everyone for participating.

Katie Moyer made the board aware of several bills dealing with hemp, medical marijuana, etc. and pointed out the bill being introduced by Kim Mozier that would create a center of cannabis research.

The KADB Red Application Review Committee will meet immediately following adjournment of the regular business meeting.

Next Meeting

Commissioner Quarles stated the next KADB meeting will be held on Friday, February 19, at 10:00 a.m. (EST).

Adjournment

There being no further business, Commissioner Quarles entertained a motion to adjourn the meeting at 12:30 pm (EST).

Matt Hinton moved to adjourn the January KADB meeting; Mr. McKee seconded the motion

VOTE: Motion Passed; Unanimous.

APPROVED DATE: 3-2-2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: Ryan Quarles
Commissioner of Agriculture Ryan Quarles

BOARD SECRETARY: Milinda Sosby
Milinda Sosby

-
1. A detailed list of the New Applications for Referral is attached as Appendix A.
 2. A detailed list of the applications funded under the listed programs is attached as Appendix B.
 3. A detailed list of amendments funded under the listed programs is attached as Appendix C.
 4. A detailed list of time extensions is attached as Appendix D.
 5. A copy of the Meat Processing Expansion Committee minutes is attached as Appendix E (Part I and II).
 6. A copy of the AgriTech Committee minutes is attached as Appendix F (Part I and II).
 7. A copy of the White Application Review Committee minutes is attached as Appendix G.
 8. A copy of the Red Application Review Committee is attached as Appendix H.

APPENDIX A: New Applications for Referral

APP #	APPLICANT	COUNTY	Cmte.
A2020-0320	James K. Aud, Jr. <i>Rotary Composting Equipment for Poultry</i>	Daviess	Red
A2020-0321	Cecil Farms' Produce, LLC <i>Fresh Cut Flower Shed</i>	Daviess	Red
A2020-0327	Kentucky State University <i>Mobile Processing Unit Expansion</i>	Franklin	Red
A2020-0328	Corner Shop Butchery <i>Meat Processing Investment Program - Level 4</i>	Lawrence	Processing
A2020-0329	Lorenz & Hammond Limited Liability Company <i>Meat Processing Investment Program - Level 4</i>	Anderson	Processing
A2020-0337	Pulaski County 4-H Council <i>Pulaski County Ham House</i>	Pulaski	Red
A2020-0338	Pulaski County Extension District Foundation, Inc <i>Pulaski County Forage Testing</i>	Pulaski	Red
A2020-0346	Woodford County Conservation District <i>WCCD District Technician Position</i>	Woodford	Red
A2020-0348	Community Farm Alliance, Inc. <i>Farmers Market Support Program & Kentucky Double Dollars Program</i>	_ALL	Red
A2020-0354	Dowdy's Custom Meat Processing <i>Meat Processing Investment Program - Level 3</i>	Graves	Processing
A2020-0355	Farmstead Butcher Block LLC <i>Meat Processing Investment Program - Level 4</i>	Muhlenberg	Processing

APPENDIX B: Programs Recommended for Approval

CAIP

<u>Application</u>	<u>Program Administrator</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Funds Requested</u>
A2020-0307	Lewis County Conservation District	Lewis	\$202,267.00
A2020-0318	Buffalo Trace Area Development District, Inc.	Bracken	\$294,736.00
A2020-0319	Breckinridge County Conservation District	Breckinridge	\$250,000.00
A2020-0335	Pulaski County Conservation District	Pulaski	\$250,000.00
A2020-0351	Logan County Cattlemens Association Incorporated	Logan	\$60,825.00
			\$1,057,828.00

Next Generation Farmer (NextGen)

<u>Application</u>	<u>Program Administrator</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Funds Requested</u>
A2020-0317	Buffalo Trace Area Development District, Inc.	Bracken	\$36,842.00
			\$36,842.00

Shared-Use Equipment Program

<u>Application</u>	<u>Program Administrator</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Funds Requested</u>
A2020-0336	Pulaski County Conservation District	Pulaski	\$8,000.00
A2020-0349	Logan County Conservation District	Logan	\$18,000.00
			\$26,000.00

Youth Ag Incentives Program (Youth)

<u>Application</u>	<u>Program Administrator</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Funds Requested</u>
A2020-0339	Clark County Conservation District	Clark	\$25,000.00
A2020-0350	Logan County Cattlemens Association Incorporated	Logan	\$60,825.00
			\$85,825.00

Total County Funds Recommended for Approval in Programs: **\$1,206,495.00**

APPENDIX C: Requested Program Amendments

App # A2020-0149
Applicant Name Green River Area Beef Improvement Group
Original Amount Approved \$150,000
Execution Date 08/21/2020
Requested Change The applicant requests an additional \$25,000 Daviess County funds for the *CAIP Program*. The request received a low priority from the County Council.
 This is the first request for an amendment to this application number. Staff recommends No Funding this application due to low priority by the Daviess County Council.

Recommend No Funding

App# A2020-0081
Applicant Name Jessamine County FFA Alumni Association, Inc.
Original Amount Approved \$100,000
Execution Date 06/11/2020
Requested Change The applicant requests an additional \$80,000 in Jessamine County funds for the *CAIP Program*. The request received a high priority from the County Council.
 This is the first request for an amendment to this application number. The term of the program shall remain 12-months from the execution date of the original agreement.
 Approval of this request would bring the program total to \$180,000

Recommend Approval

APPENDIX D: Requested Time Extensions

App# **A2019-0103**
Applicant Name **Metcalfe County Extension District Board**
Amount Approved \$190,000
Execution Date 08/5/2019
Requested Change The applicant requests a six-month time extension due to needing additional time to complete the architectural design and construction of the farmers market.
This is the first request for time extension for this project.
The new deadline will be August 5, 2021.
Recommend Approval

App# **A2019-0179**
Applicant Name **Rockcastle County Industrial Development Authority**
Amount Approved \$250,000
Execution Date 09/12/2019
Requested Change The applicant requests a 12-month time extension due to needing additional time to complete the bidding process and construction of the farmers market.
This is the first request for time extension for this project.
The new deadline will be March 12, 2022.
Recommend Approval

App# **A2019-0216**
Applicant Name **Wayne Glenn dba Glenn Farms**
Amount Approved \$10,150
Execution Date 02/11/2020
Requested Change The applicant requests a 12-month time extension due to needing additional time to be approved for the federal USDA REAP program.
This is for an on-farm energy project.
This is the first request for an amendment to this project.
The new deadline will be February 11, 2022.
Recommend Approval

APPENDIX E (Part I): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date:	Monday, January 4, 2021
Meeting Location:	Zoom Video Conferencing
Meeting Chair:	Renee Carrico
Attendees:	Committee: Dr. Gordon Jones, Pat Henderson, Bobby Foree, Tom McKee, Al Pedigo, and Keith Rogers KCARD Staff: Aleta Botts, Brent Lackey, and Kellie Padgett UK Staff: Dr. Gregg Rentfrow GOAP Staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Danielle Milbern, Renee Carrico, Brian Murphy, Sandra Gardner, and Anne Marie Franklin Other: Laurie White, Katelyn Hawkins, Angela Caporelli, Steven Elder and Cara Stewart
Minutes Issued By:	Renee Carrico
Meeting Call to Order:	9:08 AM
Meeting Adjourned:	11:45 PM

Agenda Items:

- I. Chairman Renee Carrico called the meeting to order.
- II. Brian Murphy gave an update on the RFP
 - Staff is awaiting final documentation from Mr. Pace to finalize the contract.
 - To enter into contract with a state government entity he must be registered and in good standing with the Kentucky Secretary of State's office.
 - Dr. Gordon Jones mentioned he would like to see it required for the consultant to visit both Level 3 and 4 projects prior to committee approval.
- III. Ms. Carrico gave an update on KY CARES for Meat Processing and 2020 and 2021 MPIP projects.
 - There were a total of 12 CARES projects approved by December 30, 2020 for \$2,008,933.
 - The CARES project waiting list consists of 8 projects requesting \$549,554.
 - The 2020 MPIP Program approved 17 projects for \$1,630,847 in KADF State funds.
 - The 2020 MPIP Program pending list consists of 5 projects requesting \$1,037,500.
 - There are a total of 6 project that are new for 2021 program requesting \$1,019,120.
 - Staff requested guidance on how to handle those waitlisted for the CARES program.
 - Committee discussed multiple options for having each application reapply under the 2021 MPIP program.
 - Mr. Henderson suggested that each pended application be offered to fill out a 2021 MPIP application for the Level that best suits their business at a reimbursement rate of 50% and may use their CARES application submission date as the retroactive date for reimbursement if approved.

APPENDIX E (Part I): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

- Mr. Pedigo suggested that labor not be allowed.
- Mr. Foree asked for clarification on how a pre-pay item would be handled.
- Staff explained that if the initial purchase is before the retroactive date, it is not eligible.
- Committee members agreed to keep MPIP applications the same way.
- Staff restated Mr. Henderson's suggestion and the Committee came to a consensus to have Staff proceed with that direction.

IV. Ms. Carrico went through materials in the digital meeting packet.

- Committee went into discussion about setting lifetime limits on processors.
 - Bobby Foree clarified that the current spreadsheet included both grants and loans as well as federal (CARES) funds.
 - Sandra Gardner gave an explanation of the history of the lifetime limit on the Farmers Market Program which has a start date that coincides with the beginning of the program.
 - Aleta Botts reminded the group that based on previous discussions of this Board, KCARD recommends their applicants apply for KAFC or other loan programs if they have received KADF grant funds previously.
 - Al Pedigo suggested deciding on a maximum of grant funds since MPIP program started.
 - Discussion was had about if there should be exceptions to this limit based on strategic importance for the state.
 - Motion was made by Dr. Jones and seconded by Mr. Foree to set a lifetime limit of \$250,000 in KADF grant, forgivable loan and CARES funds since the inception of the MPIP program. Motion passed unanimously.

V. 2021 Program Guidelines Discussion.

- KCARD's recommendation were discussed by the Committee.
 1. Establish priority strategic needs for consideration every quarter
 - Ms. Botts explained that listing what the Committee considers to be strategic needs may prompt applicants to include those needs in their project applications.
 - Dr. Jones shared concern for listing needs that can change in a short period of time.
 - Dr. Rentfrow agreed that more USDA plants are a need he is seeing in the state.
 - Staff members explained how printed needs make communication with applicants easier.
 - Mr. Pedigo agreed that if they are listed, they need to be updated regularly.
 - Mr. Foree agreed and suggested giving priority to applications addressing strategic needs and listing examples would make that easier.
 - Upon discussion a list was established to work from:
 - Geographic need of a USDA facility or USDA facility accepting custom work

APPENDIX E (Part I): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

- Poultry processing line
 - Producer ownership structure
 - Global Food Safety Inspection Certification (GFSI)
2. Require conversion of current forgivable loan to a 0-2% forgivable loan if the business makes changes to its business model reducing the business's positive impact on Kentucky farmers during the first 5 years following the award.
 - Staff explained the challenge in implementing a loan type change once executed.
 - Committee members asked about reporting for MPIP projects.
 - Ms. Gardner explained semi-annual versus annual reporting requirements.
 - Committee members asked if inadequate annual report could go under review by the committee.
 - Staff agreed that is an option but asked that this be further discussed at the next meeting to better brainstorm compliance and legality of the different situations that may come up.
 3. Reimplement the cap of \$37,500 on Level 2
 - The Committee agreed that the program level maximum should be remain.
 4. Ensure continued consideration of value-added meat processors
 - Ms. Carrico explained that when a call is received by a value-added meat processor (without kill floor) the applicant is encouraged to apply if they are willing to provide the committee with further documentation showing their impact on multiple producers.
 - Dr. Rentfrow inquired about deer processors transitioning to beef and hogs, some of which only plan to be open for 3-4 months out of the year.
 - The Committee asked that this be discussed again at the next meeting allowing them time to consider.
 - The Committee agreed with Staff current process for handling value-added processors.
 6. Eliminate Level 1 incentive program
 - The Committee agreed that Level 1 be eliminated.
- The Committee established the below program level maximum funding for 2021 at 50% reimbursement rate:
 - Level 2: \$37,500
 - Level 3: \$250,000
 - Level 4: \$250,000
 - Level 3 and 4 will be required to have a meat consultant visit and report.
 - Committee clarified that a Level 4 application would be defined as a brand new facility or new ownership of an existing facility.
-

APPENDIX E (Part I): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

- Staff asked for clarification on single farm processors and the committee recommended those seek County funds for expansion.
- Keith Rogers mentioned a previous discussion of “sun-setting” the current program in May 2021 and initiating a competitive program for the remainder of the year.
- The Committee referenced KCARD recommendations for this and asked that it be a discussion point at the next meeting.
- A new timeline was established as follows:
 - January 19, 2020 Committee 2021 Guidelines Meeting
 - Second week of February MPIP Application Review
 - February 19, 2020 MPIP 2021 Guidelines Approval at KADB Meeting

There being no further business, meeting was adjourned.

Next Meeting Date: January 19, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. EST via Zoom Video Conferencing

APPENDIX E (Part II): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date:	Tuesday, January 19, 2021
Meeting Location:	Zoom Video Conferencing
Meeting Chair:	Renee Carrico
Attendees:	Committee: Wayne Hunt, Dr. Gordon Jones, Pat Henderson, Bobby Foree, Tom McKee, Al Pedigo, and Keith Rogers KCARD Staff: Aleta Botts, Brent Lackey, and Kellie Padgett UK Staff: Dr. Gregg Rentfrow GOAP Staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Danielle Milbern, Renee Carrico, Brian Murphy, Sandra Gardner, Kara Keeton, Lindsay Bates, and Anne Marie Franklin Other: Laurie White, and Tim Hughes
Minutes Issued By:	Renee Carrico
Meeting Call to Order:	9:08 AM
Meeting Adjourned:	11:45 PM

Agenda Items:

- I. Chairman Wayne Hunt called the meeting to order.
- II. Application Review
 - A2020-0268 JSW Chop Shop, Inc.
 - \$250,000 State funds
 - X-Ray Analyzer
 - John Lett gave an overview of the application and updates to the business plan
 - Committee members requested updates on multiple topics including: GFSI certification, wash cabinet installation, and costs of haul of disposal.
 - Dr. Greg Rentfrow mentioned he had been to the plant in November.
 - Aleta Botts gave an overview of the KCARD reviewed updated financials.
 - Motion was made by Bobby Foree and seconded by Keith Rogers to recommend approval contingent on the KADB approval of additional funds. Motion passed unanimously.
 - Motion was made by Keith Rogers and seconded by Dr. Gordon Jones to request \$2,500,000 for the 2020 and 2021 Meat Processing Investment Program. Motion passed unanimously.
 - It was noted that this would make the total KADF investment \$4,000,000 over two years.
- III. Brian Murphy gave an update on the RFP
 - Staff is awaiting final documentation from Mr. Pace to finalize the contract.
 - To enter into contract with a state government entity he must be registered and in good standing with the Kentucky Secretary of State's office and have a designated Kentucky address.
 - Contract should be finalized within the week and visits will be scheduled for January 27-29 for pending Level 4 projects.

APPENDIX E (Part II): Meat Processing Expansion Committee Meeting Minutes

IV. Renee Carrico gave an overview of the materials in the meeting packet.

- A motion was made by Al Pedigo and seconded by Mr. Rogers to approve the minutes from the January 4, 2020 meeting. Motion passed unanimously.
- The Committee voiced their support of the Summary of 2021 Guideline changes.
- Mr. Rogers gave an update on the discussion between Kentucky Commissioner of Agriculture and Tennessee Commissioner of Agriculture on large scale packing plant.
- The Committee voiced their support of the lifetime limits spreadsheet.
- The Committee requested that strategic needs list be reviewed in 6 months.

V. 2021 Guidelines Discussion

- Staff requested guidance on value added processors for the 2021 program.
 - The Committee recommended the following:
 - Level 3: must provide proof of processing KY product for the last 12 months.
 - Level 4: must provide MOU's or contracts to process KY product for 12 months.
- Handling of processors changing business structure
 - Staff gave an update on internal Compliance meetings in regard to processor reporting and legal agreements.
 - The Committee suggested Staff reference Creation Gardens legal agreement for ideas of ways to structure forgiveness with Kentucky impact included.
- Competitive Application Process Discussion
 - Ms. Botts explained KCARD's recommendation for a competitive application process.
 - After discussion the Committee came to a consensus that the application process should become competitive and reviewed quarterly.
 - The first application review meeting of the committee on 2021 project applications will be in April 2021.
 - Application window to be reviewed in April is from October 30, 2020 – March 26, 2021.
 - The Committee requested that Staff draft the following before next meeting:
 - 2021 Meat Processing Investment Program Guidelines
 - 2021 Application Scoresheet
 - Memo for additional KADF funding

There being no further business, meeting was adjourned.

Next Meeting Date: February 8, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. EST via Zoom Video Conferencing



APPENDIX F (Part I): KADB AgriTech Committee

Who: Committee members: Dr. Kirk Pomper, Dr. Nancy Cox, Dr. Ryan Quarles, Wayne Hunt, Sarah Butler, Robbie Williams, Pat Henderson, Mark Barker, Dr. Gordon Jones, Dr. Joyce Stubbs, Dr. Ed Davis, Dr. Fred DeGraves, Dr. Tony Brannon
Guest: Steven Elder, Keith Rogers, Becky Thompson, Spencer Quinn, Aleta Botts, Ashton Potter Wright, Tim Hughes, Leslie Oliver
Staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Brian Murphy, Anne Marie Franklin, Sandy Gardner, Marielle McElmurray, Stefanie Osterman, Grace Clark, and Milinda Sosby

Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021
Time: 9:00 a.m. EST
Location: Zoom

Meeting Minutes

9:01 a.m.: The meeting was called to order by Pat Henderson.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee to review the previous meeting's minutes.

Dr. Jones stated a correction needed to be made on page 8 – acceptations needed to read exemptions.

GOAP staff made said correction and read the new language for the committee.

Mr. Henderson called for a motion to approve the minutes.

Robbie Williams moved to approve as corrected the December 30, 2020 minutes, Mark Barker seconded the motion.
Motion passed; Unanimous.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the agenda and the action items for the meeting.

Mr. Henderson stated that the committee needed to finalize the technology list and keep the approved AgriTech list the same as what is approved in CAIP. This would ensure the ability for State funds to match eligible purchases in CAIP.

Dr. Jones moved to keep the AgriTech Eligible Technology and Equipment list the same as what's offered in CAIP.

Mark Barker seconded the motion.

Mr. Henderson elaborated on the development process in coming years to expand the list for both, AgriTech and CAIP.

Dr. Brannon stated his concerns in regards to excluding certain technologies from the approved list to meet CAIP.

Mr. Henderson provided insight on the approval process for CAIP areas and how those equipment and technology lists are created and approved.

Mr. Henderson asked for staff to share the AgriTech Grant Program Application document for the committee to view. He also stated he shares the same concern as Dr. Brannon however, he also shares the concern of changing a program after it's already been approved by the KADB board.

Dr. Brannon clarified that the applicant would apply using the CAIP application and the AgriTech Grant Program application to total a possible maximum of \$10,000. The CAIP application would allow for the applicant to apply for the maximum amount their county allows and then, use the AgriTech Grant Program application to receive the match.

Mr. Barker clarified that drones were mentioned in the CAIP committee as a technology that would not be included in CAIP for the 2021 year.

Mr. Henderson stated that clean diesel technology, innovative bedding and soilless growing systems were not discussed by the CAIP ideas and that this program has brought in new ideas. He asked the committee to decide if they'd like to change the approved CAIP list or wait until the next year.

Dr. Jones stated that the committee could make suggestions to the CAIP committee and GOAP staff could help facilitate that process.

Mr. Rogers stated that he and the Commissioner had discussed the KDA position the first of January. With that, they do not believe that CAIP should be altered at this time and that as long as the items on the AgriTech list align with CAIP.

Mr. Henderson clarified that items on the AgriTech list could be in CAIP in multiple investment areas.

Mr. Rogers stated that the program would be difficult to administer and would take up a lot of staff time. However, we are headed in the right direction if we are going to do something and to target the small farmers we have some work to do.

Mr. Henderson asked for additional comments. Seeing none – a vote was called.

Motion passed- Unanimous

Mr. Henderson asked for the scoresheet to be displayed for the committee to review.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the document and asked for input from the committee on the scoring worksheet on page 4 of the application.

Dr. Jones stated he did not agree with scoring an application on the percentage of KADF funds within the project. He stated this would cater to the wealthier farmers. He suggested to make the scoresheet maximum at 80 points rather than 100 points after the removal of the mention category.

It was agreed upon by the committee members to remove this portion.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee to provide input on the narrative requirements within the application. He specifically asked the committee to review the implementation process requirement within the narrative section.

Mr. Rogers stated he would like to the language altered some in the narrative requirements to be more easily understood by producers. He suggested to change the language to read:

2. Describe the expected implementation process.

(i.e. first time purchase of equipment/technology, upgrading current technology/equipment with new technology/equipment or replacing existing technology/equipment with similar technology/equipment)

GOAP staff made the suggested changes and displayed them for the committee to review.

Mr. Rogers stated that all looked good.

Mr. Henderson stated he'd like to see one change, switch 'same' for 'similar'

Mr. Hunt stated he reviewed all documents for the program and he believes everything looks great. He also stated that technology is everywhere in our lives and this looks like it could help agriculturally.

GOAP staff displayed the scoring worksheet with the recommended changes from Dr. Jones.

Dr. Jones stated the changes looked good. However, he is concerned with the Kentucky Agricultural Finance Corporation being mentioned in the AgriTech Program Guidelines.

Mr. Hunt also stated he would like to review the guidelines for clarification on a few items.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the program guidelines with the committee.

Mr. Hunt asked if every county is to fund all areas of CAIP or do the counties have the option to choose what areas they will fund.

GOAP staff clarified that all items in the 11 investments areas must be offered in every county with CAIP.

Mr. Rogers asked if counties could not fund a specific item within CAIP.

GOAP staff stated that since 2009 counties cannot choose what to make an approved item within CAIP, they must offer all that are listed in the investment area guidelines.

Dr. Jones asked for the KAFC mentioning in 5c on page 3 to be reviewed and discussed by the committee.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the item and provided insight on its significance within the guidelines.

Dr. Jones stated he does not want a farmer to be penalized for receiving a KAFC loan.

GOAP staff reiterated that this requirement is something within all KADB programs and that a farmer would not be penalized, but the total amount of funds from both, KADB and KAFC, cannot exceed 50% of the entire project cost.

It was agreed upon to leave 5c on page 2 as it reads. No changes were made.

Mr. Henderson asked for clarification from staff on the 1040.

GOAP staff stated the first and second pages of the 1040 along with the Schedule F are what a producer would need to submit if they are seeking eligibility according to the 20% of gross income from farming and only the Schedule F to meet the gross farm income requirement.

Mr. Henderson reiterated that only staff will review tax documents and they will notify the committee of the producer eligibility.

GOAP staff clarified that tax documents are a requirement on the Application Submission section of the guidelines.

Dr. Brannon asked for clarification on the placement of 'dispersal of funds' being under Application Submission. He suggested that the statement be moved to Funding Limitations 5a on page 3. This was agreed to by general consensus.

Mr. Henderson asked for the committee to review the Eligible Technology and Equipment list. While reviewing the list he asked the committee to discuss what needed to be removed from the list to match CAIP.

Mr. Ridley asked for GOAP staff to alter the list while on the meeting and display before we leave.

Kentucky Cattlemen's Association was called upon to elaborate on the 'Innovative Bedding' statement on the list. Becky Thompson provided a statement on the importance of the health of the animal relating to the bedding and offered to provide more details if needed.

Mr. Brannon stated that we should include a statement with CAIP included so that this list isn't having to be updated constantly when new items are found. He also raised the question as to why we needed this list if CAIP has a list currently.

Mr. Ridley stated the importance of having this list and not relying on all 11 investment area lists. This would allow for easier access to qualified technology for the producers.

GOAP staff read a statement added to the list including the language relating to CAIP for approval. It was a general consensus that the updated language would work.

Mr. Rogers stated that producers having a list for the AgriTech Program would be better than having to make the producer search through CAIP for approved technology to be matched.

GOAP staff stated that staff would reconcile the current AgriTech Program list with what is currently approved in CAIP and will have it for the January 22, 2021 meeting.

Dr. Brannon suggested that the statement about hemp should be removed from the list. It was agreed upon by the committee and GOAP staff made the change.

Mr. Rogers stated that having staff review the list and make it specific to CAIP would be ideal.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee to think about how they would like to review AgriTech project applications that do not go through this program, but with the general application process. He asked the committee to consider the currently meeting schedule for the AgriTech Committee and the delay it could create in the other projects.

Mr. Rogers stated the delay in the approval process is a concern, but he has no problem with those applications going to the Red, White and Blue committee.

Dr. Pomper stated that as the initiative grows he'd like to see the applications come to the AgriTech Committee and we increase the number of meetings that are held.

Dr. Jones concurred with Dr. Pomper. It was agreed to by the committee.

Mr. Henderson asked if the board would like to create a separate application for these larger projects.

Mr. Rogers stated he did not want to see a separate application created.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee who would decide when a project is an AgriTech type project.

Mr. Rogers stated it would be up to the staff.

Mr. Henderson stated the committee would meet quarterly for the CAIP match applications and as need for the general applications. The committee agreed that this would be the best process.

GOAP staff asked for any additional comments or questions.

Mr. Henderson reminded the committee that three groups would be presenting at the January KADB meeting on behalf of this committee.

GOAP staff asked the committee to provide guidance on leaving out equine in specific areas if they are not included in CAIP, but poultry and other livestock are. Staff stated that they did not want to exclude those producers, but did not want to include them if it's not included in CAIP.

Mr. Hunt did not see any issues – if it's not in CAIP, it's not on the list.

Mr. Rogers stated that it would be easier to take things off the list rather than to add things back on the list. He stated that if staff had questions on specific things those could be brought to the committee later.

Mr. Hunt stated that staff did not need to be discouraged, creating new programs take time and they've done a good job thus far.

Mr. Ridley stated a starting point is what we have and we can only grow from here. He also stated we are changing the culture and this is a step in the right directions.

Mr. Henderson thanks the committee for their time and dedication. He also stated progress has been made and we will continue to grow this program moving forward.

Comm. Quarles thanked Mr. Henderson for his role in chairing the committee. He also stated he'd looking forward to the presentations on Friday.

Dr. Brannon asked for the link to join the KADB meeting on Friday.

Mr. Ridley thanked Mr. Henderson for his work on this committee.

Mr. Hunt reminded the committee of the Beef Efficiency Conference being held tonight at 7:30pm EST.

Mr. Henderson adjourned the meeting at 10:43 a.m. EST.

Meeting Calendar:

- January 22, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. EST

Approved January 22, 2021, approval reflected in the meeting minutes.



APPENDIX F (Part II): KADB AgriTech Committee

Who: Committee members: Dr. Kirk Pomper, Dr. Nancy Cox, Wayne Hunt, Sarah Butler, Robbie Williams, Pat Henderson, Mark Barker, Dr. Gordon Jones, Dr. Joyce Stubbs, Dr. Ed Davis, Dr. Fred DeGraves, Dr. Tony Brannon
Guest: Steven Elder, Keith Rogers, Becky Thompson, Spencer Quinn, Aleta Botts, Ashton Potter Wright, Tim Hughes, Leslie Oliver, Matt Chaliff, Keith Rogers, Chris Crumine
Staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Brian Murphy, Anne Marie Franklin, Sandy Gardner, Kara Keeton, Lindsay Bates, Stefanie Osterman, Grace Clark, and Milinda Sosby

Date: Friday, January 22, 2021
Time: 9:00 a.m. EST
Location: Zoom

Meeting Minutes

9:01 a.m.: The meeting was called to order by Pat Henderson.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the agenda and thanked GOAP staff for their dedication in getting this AgriTech Program moving forward. He also thanked the committee for their dedication in the process.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee to review the previous meeting's minutes.

Mr. Henderson called for a motion to approve the minutes.

Dr. Gordon Jones moved to approve the January 12, 2021 minutes, Wayne Hunt seconded the motion.

Motion passed; Unanimous.

Mr. Henderson asked GOAP staff to provide an update on the outreach since our last meeting.

GOAP Staff stated that no additional information has been submitted from commodity groups in feedback on the AgriTech Program. An overview of the January 13th meeting held with Cooperative Extension Agents was provided as well as an overview of the January 19th CPA. 2.0 panel discussion with the Fayette Alliance. Staff informed the committee that the CAIP trainings will include an introduction to the AgriTech Grant Program once approved by the KADB. Attendees of the CAIP trainings will also have the opportunity to join a breakout room with GOAP Staff to ensure any questions or concerns are addressed specifically towards the AgriTech Grant Program.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the AgriTech Project Guidelines document. He reiterated that all components of the document had been previously discussed by the committee other than the amount applicants were eligible to receive.

Dr. Jones recommended that the AgriTech Project Guidelines have bold lettering for “not for profit entity” in section A-2.

Mr. Henderson asked if the committee was ok with the suggestion to bold the lettering. No response, general consensus was that the bold lettering was needed.

Mr. Henderson continued to review the document highlighting C 4 where the maximum amount an applicant could receive was \$250,000. He stated this part was not discussed in the committee’s previous meeting, and that the amount was up for discussion as it was placed in the document as a place holder until this meeting occurred. He also stated this amount was listed in the Farmers Market Guidelines and asked the committee what number they would like to see in place.

Dr. Jones stated he would like to have more projects rather than higher costing projects. He suggested the amount to be changed to \$100,000.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee for their input and reminded members that commodity groups, Farm Bureau and other groups had stated that education was a critical component within AgriTech based on the information we’ve been provided.

Dr. Pomper stated he thought there were many groups out there interested in participating and that the \$100,000 amount allowed for more of those groups to participate.

Mr. Henderson asked Dr. Tony Brannon for his input on the project guidelines.

Dr. Brannon stated that we do not need a project or program right now, but we need an initiative for AgriTech. He elaborated on the advancement of technologies and the importance of strategic planning. He also suggested to step back and instead of investing money, develop an initiative and allow KADB funding to go towards the initiative and not projects. He elaborated that education is important within the initiative based on information previously provided by leaders in AgriTech. Wrapping up, he asked the committee to look at something larger and not focus on small projects specifically, “ASAP program – Agritech Systems Assistance Program” with a series of projects.

Mr. Henderson stated that he agreed with Dr. Brannon in that, he would like to see this be step 2 in the process. We know we’re going to be getting projects and these guidelines will help with the application process for those. He also stated that we need to help get our farmers involved and this isn’t the end of what we will do in AgriTech. He reiterated that we are not at the end of the road with these guidelines.

Dr. Brannon reiterated that he did not want to go to phase 2 without providing some focus on the end result.

Mr. Henderson stated he agreed.

Mr. Hunt stated that “Ag Tech” is bringing some new thinking to people. He referenced the vineyard projects and that this AgriTech program is only going to implement what’s currently in the market. He stated that someone like Pete Nelson, Ag Launch, is who needs to focus on bringing new technology to the market, not KADB. He also explained that there’s a lot of discussion out there with AgriTech – we need to focus on what we

want to do since KADB is limited on funding. Our funds have decreased and is limiting what we are able to do. He reference Dr. Brannon's statements and the fact those thoughts are beyond the scope of KADB.

Mr. Henderson agreed with Mr. Hunt's statement and stated that the committee needs to decide what role they want the KADB to play in these larger components. He reminded them that the current guidelines are only to manage the projects that will come in.

Mr. Hunt stated that the KADF is a fund for farmers and we need to remember that it's to add value to agriculture in Kentucky. He also stated he agreed with the \$100,000 amount in the project guidelines.

Mr. Henderson confirmed that the KADF is a fund to increase net farm income.

Dr. Jones expressed his appreciation for all the suggestions, but followed up with stating that Dr. Brannon's ideas were great yet, beyond the scope of the KADB. He also reiterated that this education component was critical and a positive move. He also stated we needed to keep the AgriTech Project Guidelines.

Mr. Henderson recapped both statements and reiterated that the goal of the guidelines are to provide structure to the funding for not for profit entities that are looking to adopt technology.

Dr. Jones moved to replace \$250,000 with \$100,000 in C.4 – Funding Limitations. Keith Rogers seconded the motion.

Mr. Rogers expressed that the Commissioner and him agree with the route Dr. Brannon previously mentioned, but that it is the bigger piece and that right now, focusing on implementing technology is a good direction. He reference Pete Nelson's presentation on the number of companies out there in the market, but again, we need to look at our strengths as a state and our ultimate goal is to keep the ball moving and stated the project guidelines appear to be doing just that. He also stated this piece could be bridged down the road.

Mr. Hunt stated it's going to be interesting to see what will come forward with these new projects.

Mr. Henderson stated that passing these guidelines on will be the start to building a stronger AgriTech program moving forward in years to come.

Mr. Henderson asked for further discussion, seeing none he called for a vote.
Motion passed; Unanimous.

Dr. Brannon asked if any projects fitting this have been received at this time.

GOAP Staff stated there have been no projects submitted at this time, but that WKU had discussed completing an application.

Dr. DeGraves gave an overview of the possible WKU project within the Dairy Department.

Mr. Henderson recapped that no applications have been received, but we know some are planning to apply. We just needed these guidelines to help the application process.

GOAP Staff shared the AgriTech Grant Program Guidelines for review.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the process in creating Appendix A within the guidelines. He explained that all items are verbatim from the 2021 CAIP Investment Areas.

Mr. Henderson asked the committee to review each investment area of the displayed list and provide feedback on what should be added and/or removed. He also asked for the committee to utilize the CAIP list they received in reviewing this list.

Mr. Henderson stated he'd go through the list by investment area and if no discussion arises, it would be considered that all members are fine with what is listed.

Mr. Henderson reviewed the Broadband bullet under AgriTech and Leadership investment area. He asked if it was appropriate to incentivize this item.

Dr. Jones stated that the Beef Connection no longer exists (listed in bullet 7).

GOAP Staff stated that "Beef Connection" is listed in the 2021 CAIP Guidelines therefore, it must remain in the AgriTech Grant Program Guidelines.

Mr. Hunt inquired about the level of advancement with tree spades.

Mr. Henderson stated Mr. Roger's suggestion of including a number of technologies from our previous committee meeting.

GOAP Staff reiterated that the AgriTech Application and Scoresheet would help alleviate the non-innovative pieces of technology and equipment that could be funded through this program.

Mr. Hunt stated GOAP staff answered his question and the tone of the application will determine the approval of an application.

Mr. Henderson stated that the scoresheet is essential in the scoring process.

Mr. Rogers questioned if GOAP staff had pulled all items directly from CAIP.

GOAP Staff reiterated that everything is verbatim from the 2021 CAIP investment areas.

Mr. Rogers stated that the specialized harvesting systems is AgriTech, but the tree spade isn't. He stated items like these have potential for AgriTech as well as the potential to not be AgriTech. With Broadband, we need to look at leaving this for those producers who have no other option.

Dr. Jones stated his appreciation for what staff has done. He also stated that this is a good starting point and having a process for recommendation will be a good place to start.

Mr. Williams provided insight of how he has implemented an antenna and mobile hotspot to increase his cell and internet signal at home. He expressed the importance of utilizing different capabilities that we have and do not necessarily know that we have. He stated that in talking about broadband, this is the foundation of what we're talking about. He also expressed that all of the items listed are going to help rural Kentuckians.

Dr. Jones asked Mr. Williams about the costs of his antenna.

Mr. Williams provided insight on the different costs and how the increased bandwidth occurs utilizing the equipment he has himself.

Mr. Henderson stated utilizing CAIP and the State funds, a producer could spend up to \$1,000 and receive 50% of that cost between both funding avenues – CAIP and State funds.

Mr. Hunt stated that producers need to be educated on what's out there and available for them.

Mr. Henderson specified that the language "Testing: DNA, Genomics, Semen" is verbatim of CAIP and that it could not read "Genomics Testing" as he had previously requested as that is not how it's currently listed in CAIP. He also reiterated that the scoresheet is going to help evaluate these applications.

Dr. Jones stated that the current language would suffice until we can change it in CAIP for 2022. He also expressed the high cost of whole herd diagnostic testing.

Dr. DeGraves agreed with Dr. Jones statement and that computer record systems for animal health would be an additional asset.

Mr. Henderson stated the computer record system is included in the AgriTech and Leadership area of the list.

Mr. Rogers made a general comment that not everything appears AgriTech, but there could be a piece that we're not aware of and this is a broad list. We need to leave ourselves open to items we may not be aware of and this list does just that.

GOAP Staff stated that the tethering to CAIP will serve as a buffer for some non-AgriTech items.

Mr. Hunt stated the scoresheet will eliminate a lot of those pieces that aren't innovative.

Mr. Rogers stated that he's confident the scoresheet and grading system will be a buffer for those questionable items we may receive.

Mr. Hunt asked Mr. Rogers on his thoughts for Solar Panels.

Mr. Rogers stated we cannot answer that until it's evaluated.

Dr. DeGraves agreed with Mr. Rogers.

Mr. Rogers stated that Extension Agents could be the first level of a filter using their education component. We need to understand that we are throwing a big net and the narrative portion of the application is going to help the evaluation process.

Dr. Jones stated he agreed with Mr. Roger's statement in utilizing Extension Agents. He also suggested that the Extension Administration be encouraging to Agents on their involvement within this program.

Mr. Henderson stated one of the hardest things to do is give away money, but something even harder is saying no. We are going to have to say no to some applicants. We need to incentivize farmers to use these practices through CAIP and potentially be eligible for State funds.

Dr. DeGraves stated that "Variable speed drives for vacuum pumps" may need to be added to the On-Farm Energy area of Appendix A.

GOAP Staff confirmed that the item is listed as number 4 in the CAIP guidelines.

Mr. Henderson asked if the committee was ok with the addition. The committee confirmed the addition.

GOAP Staff added "Variable speed drives for vacuum pumps" to Appendix A under the On-Farm Energy area.

Mr. Henderson reiterated that the committee's input is welcome and appreciated. He asked if there was any objection to using this list in moving forward. There was no objection.

Mr. Henderson asked for a motion for the AgriTech Committee to recommend the AgriTech Project Guidelines and AgriTech Grant Program to the KADB at the February meeting.

Robbie Williams made the motion. Dr. Jones seconded. Motion passed; Unanimous.

Mr. Henderson informed the committee that Jamie Link, Executive Director of the Kentucky Communications Network Authority would be presenting at the February KADB meeting. He stated this would provide members more knowledge on what's available for producers with broadband.

Mr. Hunt inquired about the standing of funding when the bond wears off.

Mr. Ridley expressed that the General Assembly will provide oversight on that as bonds retire.

Mr. Hunt stated this would be a good place to have a unified approach on broadband.

Mr. Rogers stated he was thinking annually the funds are approximately \$20 million and we need to continue to inform the General Assembly on the need. He also stated that \$2 billion is need to get broadband accessible in Kentucky.

GOAP Staff shared that our debt service was \$32 million taken off the top of Tobacco Settlement Funds.

Mr. Henderson stated that we are searching for a way to approach the next step and the big picture. He asked the committee to think about it and bring those back to the next committee meeting.

Mr. Henderson adjourned the meeting at 10:42 a.m. EST.

Meeting Calendar:

- TBD

DRAFT

APPENDIX G: White Application Review Committee Minutes

Meeting Date:	1/15/2021
Meeting Location:	Zoom Video Conferencing
Meeting Chair:	Bill McCloskey
Attendees:	Committee members: Dean Nancy Cox, Keith Roger, Dr. Gordon Jones Fritz Giesecke, Thomas McKee and Matt Hinton GOAP staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Danielle Milbern, Renee Carrico, Sandra Gardner, Brian Murphy and Anne Marie Franklin K-CARD: Aleta Botts
Minutes Issued By:	Danielle Milbern
Meeting Call to Order:	9:00a.m. EST
Meeting Adjourned:	9:20 a.m. EST

Agenda Items:
1. A2020-0093 Summit Meat Processing a) Committee recommends approving the applicant's budget amendment request.

APPENDIX H: Red Application Review Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date:	1/15/2021
Meeting Location:	Zoom Video Conferencing
Meeting Chair:	Bill McCloskey
Attendees:	Committee Members: Pat Henderson, Robbie Williams, Dr. Kirk Pomper, Mark Barker and Keith Rogers. GOAP Staff: Dorsey Ridley, Bill McCloskey, Danielle Milbern, Brian Murphy, Renee Carrico, Sandra Gardner, Anne Marie Franklin and Milinda Sosby Other: Aleta Botts, Sharon Spencer and Dr. Cindy Finneseth.
Minutes Issued By:	Renee Carrico
Meeting Call to Order:	12:42 p.m.
Meeting Adjourned:	2:20 p.m.

Agenda Items:	
1. A2020-0320	James K Aud Jr. a.) The Committee recommends funding the request for \$5,000 in Daviess County funds.
2. A2020-0321	Cecil Farms Produce, LLC. a.) The Committee recommends funding the request for \$16,071 in Daviess County funds.
3. A2020-0327	Kentucky State University a.) The Committee recommends funding the request for \$39,719 in State funds. b.) Dr. Pomper abstained from the vote.
4. A2020-0337	Pulaski County 4-H Council, Inc. a.) The Committee recommends funding the request for \$2,868 in Pulaski County funds.
5. A2020-0338	Pulaski County District Board a.) The Committee recommends pending the application per request of the applicant.
6. A2020-0346	Woodford County Conservation District a.) The Committee recommends denying the request due to the costs of a reoccurring salary.
7. A2020-0348	Community Farm Alliance, Inc. a.) The Committee recommends pending the request until the remaining funds of the previous application are finalized.
8. A2021-0005	UK Research Foundation a.) The Committee recommends funding the request for \$75,000 in State funds.